

Engineering Education for the Betterment of Society: K-12 & Student Transition Initiatives **Evaluation of MEPO Summer 2009 Programs** TaShara C. Bailey and Faheemah N. Mustafaa tashab@umich.edu

Presented at the Fourth Annual Research and Scholarship in Engineering Education Poster Session. 10/22/09.

What are SEA and SEI?

The University of Michigan Multicultural Engineering Programs Office (MEPO) sponsors several programs under the Summer Engineering Academy (SEA) and the Ford Motor Company Summer Engineering Institute (SEI). Each offers a rigorous curriculum to expose high achieving precollege and entering first-year students to engineering disciplines and career opportunities. SEA and SEI participants interact with UM faculty, students, alumni, and other talented peers who share interests in mathematics, science, and engineering. As such, they form a pipeline of prospective engineering talent.

SEA 2009 consists of three programs:

- Michigan Introduction to Technology and Engineering (MITE 10th/11th Grades)
- Leadership, Education, and Development Program for Engineering (LEAD 11th/12th Grades)
- Summer College Engineering Exposure Program (SCEEP 12th Grade)
- SEI 2009 consists of two entering first-year programs:
- Michigan Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics Academy (M-STEM)
- Professionals-In-Training Program (PTP)

Purpose of Evaluation

The evaluation set out to answer the following questions:

- Why did students participate in SEA and SEI?
- What were pre-entry influences of the SEA and SEI participants?

Sample

	MITE	LEAD	SCEEP II	SCEEP III	РТР	M-STEM
Total # of program enrollees, Summer 2009	39	29	29	27	13	49
# of focus group interview participants	9	10	10	10	7	7

Evaluation Framework and Methodology

The evaluation process of the Academy and **Action Inquiry Model** Institute involved conducting focus group Assessment interviews with participants to examine Examining Data programmatic areas based on the Action Inquiry Model (St. John, McKinney, &Tuttle, 2006). Evaluative assessments were provided that include students' career aspirations, levels of high school preparation, and patterns of civic engagement. In addition, the framework examined relationships Source: St. John, McKinney, & Tuttle, 2006 between summer curriculum offerings and program outcomes. Content analysis was employed to evaluate the SEA and SEI data collected from six focus groups in July and August.



Acknowledgements

This operational information has been funded in part by grants from the Multicultural Engineering Programs Office, School of Education, and Rackham Graduate School. The analyses presented here do not represent the policies or conditions of the funding agencies. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Edward P. St. John for his guidance and support of this project.



Results

Recurrent themes from a portion of the interview data suggest that participants had multiple reasons and influences for attending SEA and SEI.

Codes

Parental Sup Major and Ca Participation Interest in UN College and **Reputable Ca** Financial Peer Influence **College** Prep Experience ' **Prior Involve** Math and Sci

Discussion

Overall, this evaluation illuminates students' primary familial considerations, parental support, for participation in SEA and SEI. In addition, findings reveal that further exploration of major and career interests were important to attendees which may be a result from prior participation in summer programs. Another point of interest was the University of Michigan as a whole.

References



	<u>References</u>
oport	36
areer Interests	27
in Other Summer Programs	26
Μ	19
Engineering Exposure	16
ampus and College	14
	13
ce	13
paration	7
Big School" Environment	6
ment in SEA	6
ience Improvement	5

St. John, E. P. (2003). Keeping the promise: The impact of Indiana's Twenty-first Century Scholars Program. The Review of Higher Education, 17(1), 103-123.

St. John, E. P., McKinney, J. S., & Tuttle, T. (2006). Using Action Inquiry to Address Critical Challenges, In St. John, E. P. & M. Wilkerson, Using persistence research to improve academic success. New Directions for Institutional Research, no. 130. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 63-76.