

A Tale of Two Common Reads:

Models for Developing a Successful Common Reading Program for First Year Engineering Students

Stacie Edington¹, Archie L. Holmes², Petra Reinke³

¹Honors & Engagement Program Officer, College of Engineering, University of Michigan, ²Vice Provost for Educational Innovation & Interdisciplinary Studies, University of Virginia, ³Associate Professor in Materials Science & Engineering, University of Virginia

Introduction: Common Read

- Purpose is “to provide a common academic experience for all first-year students and to strengthen the academic atmosphere of the institution from the first day the student arrives on campus.” [1]
- Traditionally involves the entire first year class reading the same book and participating in guided discussions
- Few institutions have a common read for engineering students

University of Virginia

- 15,000 undergraduates; 2,200 engineering undergrads
 - Started in 1993; 80% participation
- #### Program Goals
- For students to get to know each other outside of class
 - To help prepare students for the level of intellectual exchange
 - To help students think about engineering outside its technical aspects

University of Michigan

- 28,000 undergraduates; 6,000 engineering undergraduates
 - Started in 2013; 97% participation
- #### Program Goals
- Create the feeling of a community of scholars
 - Facilitate meaningful discussions regarding
 - the role and responsibility of an engineer in society
 - engineers developing competencies beyond the technical

University of Virginia, School of Engineering & Applied Science

Book Selection Process

- Committee: Faculty, staff & students
1. Determines 5-8 semi-finalists and then narrows the list to 3-4 finalists
 2. Ballot sent to faculty, staff, and students
 3. Final book selection
 4. Announcement of the selection

Book Criteria

- Connects to engineering practice/profession
- Contains multiple themes for the discussion (e.g. ethics, practice of the profession, personal development)
- Recently published, but available in paperback to reduce cost to students

Book Discussions

- Small group discussions
- Create community among the faculty leaders
 - Meeting prior to discussion
 - Sharing of ideas
 - Guidance and input for the discussion group leaders

Enrichment Events

- Connect experience to courses
- Invite the authors to discuss the book

University of Michigan, College of Engineering

Book Selection Process

- 2012: Selected by faculty & staff
- 2013: Selected by students, faculty, & staff
- 71% of students in 2013 reported reading the entire book compared to only 39% in 2012

Book Criteria

- Appeals to students transitioning from high school to college
- Connects engineering practice and profession
- Fosters discussion about social identity
- Importance of engineers developing competencies beyond the technical

Book Discussions

- Small group (20 students) and large group (50-75 students) discussions
- Divide discussion groups to maximize diversity across gender, ethnicity and citizenship
- Facilitated by a pair of engineering students volunteers

Enrichment Events

- Invite author and/or keynote speaker to campus
- Partner with other offices to encourage participation
- A long-standing essay contest
- Establish Common Reading Student Advisory Board

Conclusion

- Initial evaluation indicates that engineering common reading programs show positive effects related to
- modeling intellectual engagement;
 - broadening perspectives about the role of engineers in society;
 - creating connections between first-year students and the larger engineering community

Future work

- A longitudinal study or student engagement could provide additional insight into effectiveness and identify additional gains

Acknowledgements

- The faculty and staff involved with U-M Engineering 110, who seamlessly incorporated the book discussion into the curriculum.
- The many students, faculty and staff who serve as partners and facilitators.
- Funding for the Michigan Engineering Common Reading experience provided through the U-M Transforming Learning for the Third Century (TLTC) grant program.

References

- [1]Patterson, L. New ideas in first-year reading programs from around the country. First-Year Experience Newsletter (FYE), vol. 143, p. 8-9. 2002.
 [2]Ferguson, Michael. “Creating Common Ground: Common Reading and the First Year of College.” *Peer Review*, p. 8-10. Summer 2006.
 [3]National Research Council. The Engineer of 2020: Visions of Engineering in the New Century. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2004.
 [4]National Research Council. Educating the Engineer of 2020: Adapting Engineering Education to the New Century. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2005.

